

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United. States Patent and Trademark. Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,097	08/08/2003	Yu Zheng	PAT-1130CC2	5018
7590 05/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Raymond Sun 12420 Woodhall Way			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
Tustin, CA 92782			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	87
	10/637,097	ZHENG, YU	A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-27 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication from the International Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the International Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure* * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/8/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/637,097

Art Unit: 3637

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,537. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via stitching and sleeves.

Claims 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17-19, 21, 23 and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,209,557. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via sleeves.

Claims 18, 20-22, 24 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, and 6-8 of

Application/Control Number: 10/637,097

Art Unit: 3637

U.S. Patent No. 6,155,281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof.

Claims 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 8 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,778,915. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via sleeves.

Claims 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 9-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,579,799. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via sleeves.

Claims 18, 20-25 and 27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6.360.761. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via stitching.

我我的人民者 多江城 明得 知不敢以解不知前事歌以前 附上未出人的知识行成 鄉北京 日京 的數如此的問知的此心的 经由安全费由成分经证人

Application/Control Number: 10/637,097

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,560,385. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof via sleeves.

Claims 18, 20-22, 24 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,461,257. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and patent teach a pair of foldable panels removably attached at adjacent edges thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 18, 19, 21, 22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foster. Foster teaches a collapsible structure (Figs. 1 and 2) comprised of a side member (upstanding members 22,23) and base member (horizontal members 22,23), each including a foldable frame member and having fabric there over. The side and bottom members being hingedly attached at their bottom/side respectively via sleeves (33) and fold on top of each other. Note: limitations found in "for"/intended use

म्बर्भार । १ वर्षा वे भिक्त क्षित्र क्षात्रीका अभिकृतिक स्वत्यात्रीय स्थापित । वे क्षात्रीय विश्वविद्यालय क्षात्रीय क

Application/Control Number: 10/637,097

Art Unit: 3637

statements having been given no weight in the claims. Also, connected features always start out separate and can always be separated.

Claims 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Springer. Springer teaches a collapsible structure (Fig. 3) comprised of a side member (14) and base member (16), each including a foldable frame member (32,34) and having fabric there over. The side and bottom members being hingedly attached at their bottom/side respectively via sleeves (36) and fold on top of each other. Note: limitations found in "for"/intended use statements having been given no weight in the claims. Also, connected features always start out separate and can always be separated.

Claims 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norman. Norman teaches a collapsible structure (Fig. 1; laying one member 102 on the floor) comprised of a side member (102) and base member (102 member on floor), each including a foldable frame member and having fabric there over. The side and bottom members being hingedly attached at their bottom/side respectively via sleeves and stitching (see Fig. 8) and fold on top of each other. Note: limitations found in "for"/intended use statements having been given no weight in the claims. Also, connected features always start out separate and can always be separated.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (703) 308-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703) 308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilkens April 30,2004

JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU + 363